

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,792	04/12/2002	Roar B. Schou	111492	1924
7590 11/12/2004 Olif & Berridge P.O.Box 19928			EXAMINER	
			MADSEN, ROBERT A	
Alexandria, VA	22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/018,792	SCHOU, ROAR B.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Madsen	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	August 2004	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	pre prosperition of to the marks to
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quavle, 1935 C.D.	11 453 O G 213
Disposition of Claims		11, 100 0.0. 210.
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in from consideration.	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	
Application Papers	r decilor requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies	epted or b) objected to by	/ the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	olication No
3. 🖾 Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not red	ceived.
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/M	lail Date
	5) J. I. Notice of Inford	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/12/02, 5/12/02</u> .	6) Other:	man atom Application (F10-132)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-7 in the reply filed on Au is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden. However, claims 8-13 are directed to a liner with embossments or apertures and does not recite any particular content (e.g. a food substance), and the method of claims 1-7 does not require a liner. The invention of claims 1-7 requires a search in the class of 426 and 53, whereas the search for a liners for block cartons as recited in claims 8-13 would require a search in classes 229 and 220. As evidenced by, Kersh (US 3118590) is a bock carton liner (i.e. wax coated carton) that folds via a short side, the recited structure of claims 8-13 can be used in a method packaging cigarettes. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites " in lines 22-24 the "unfrozen plate of food substance is positioned in a freezer with a view to freezing the plate of food substance...", but the packaging is a carton (i.e.

Art Unit: 1761

cardboard or paperboard based), which would not make it possible to view the food in the carton. For examination purposes, it will be assumed that the freezer is plate freezer as disclosed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1,3,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nielsen (WO 97/06064) as evidenced by Nielsen (WO 9602422) in view of Nakano (JP 08-196196).
- 6. Regarding claims 1,3,4, Neilsen'064 teaches filling fish into a rectangular carton package that includes two short and two long side walls, and a bottom and cover panel wherein the food is filled onto the bottom panel, the cover is placed over the carton and the packaged food substance is frozen in a freezer frame in a shelf/plate freezer (Page 2, line 27 to Page 4, line 5, Page 6, line 16 to Page 7, line 22,Page 9, lines 20-25). Nielsen '064 further teaches Nielsen '422 is incorporated by reference. As evidenced by '422, such packages are also known to contain minced fish meat. However, '064 is silent in teaching extruding a plate of fish material as recited in claims 1 and 4, and that the carton is

transported via a conveyor that is synchronized with the extruder as recited in claim 3.

- 7. Nakano teaches a method of packaging minced meat products that provides a consistent weight for each package. Nakano uses an extruder in combination with a cutter and a weigh conveyor so that one may sever the extruded meat product when the desired weight of minced meat product is filled into each package. Additionally empty packages are provided via a conveyor that is synchronized with the discharge of the extruder to further assure uniform weight in each package(Paragraphs 1-12,15-22, Figures).
- 8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Nielsen '064 and provide an extruder in combination with a cutter and a weigh conveyor along with empty packages, such as the carton in the freezer frame, positioned on via a conveyor that is synchronized with the discharge of the extruder since Nakano teaches utilizing an extruder, cutter, and synchronized empty package conveyor/extruder assembly will assure uniform weight in minced meat package.
- 9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nielsen (WO 97/06064) as evidenced by Nielsen (WO 9706064) in view of Nakano (JP 08-196196), as applied to claims 1,3,4, above, further in view of Vogt (US 1953520).
- 10. Regarding claim 2, Nielsen '064 teaches a carton with the lid attached to a long end, but is silent in teaching a lid attached to a short end. Vogt also teaches a method freezing fish in cartons. However, Vogt teaches providing a

Art Unit: 1761

particularly shaped carton that increases the cooling surface area of the sides of the carton. This type of carton includes a cover panel is connected via a short end panel (Page 1, lines 1-40,Page 1, line 110 to Page 2, line 7, Page 3, lines 70 to 120) Therefore, it would have been obvious to further modify Nielsen '064and include a carton having a cover panel connected via a short end panel since Vogt teaches this type of carton is used to provide increased cooling surface area on the sides of the carton and provide more efficient cooling.

- 11. Claims 5,6,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nielsen (WO 97/06064) as evidenced by Nielsen (WO 9602422) in view of Nakano (JP 08-196196) as applied to claims 1,3,4 above, further in view of Battistella (US 4907421).
- 12. Regarding claims 5, 6 and 7, as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1, 3, and 4, modified Nielsen '064 utilizes a conveyor system, a shelf freezer, and freezer frames, but is silent in teaching utilizing a pressure applied the shelf freezer plates such that pressure is applied to the top and bottom panels of the carton, as recited in claim 5, and that the conveyor is provided with devices, such as freezer frames, that keep the side panels perpendicular to the bottom panel as recited in claims 6 and 7.
- 13. Battistella also teaches freezing food products with shelf freezers.

  However, Battistella teaches that unlike prior art methods the shelf freezer utilizes plates to press both panels of the food product cartons or product containing freezer frames, utilizes a conveyor system (i.e. an automatic method),

Art Unit: 1761

improve overall efficiency.

and shelves/plates that can be adjusted for the height of the food product (Column 1, line 43 to Column 2, line 40, Column 4, lines 10-65). Therefore, it would have been further obvious to include a shelf freezer with plates to press both panels of the food product cartons with plates, as recited in claim 5, since Nielsen '064 teaches cartons in freezer frames for shelf freezers and Battistella teaches a shelf freezer that not only provides pressure to the top and bottom of a freezer frame, but also is capable of being synchronized with an automatic conveyor system, such as the one of modified Nielsen '064 discussed in the rejection of claims 1,3 and 4, and is able to be adjusted for any particular frame/carton height. It would have been further obvious to utilize the frame/carton combination of Nielsen '064 as the devices for maintaining the shape of the cartons while the cartons are being transported and charged with food since Battistella teaches freezer frames are transferred to the shelf freezer with a conveyor. Since the cartons are transferred to the filling station via a conveyor, including placing the cartons inside the freezer frames prior to filling

14. Claims 1,4,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw(US 4052836) in view of Battistella( US 4907421).

would not only eliminate a separate freezer frame fill step, but would allow the

filling station to be connected to the freezer system via one conveyor and

15. Regarding claims 1,4, and 5, Shaw teaches extruding an unfrozen plate food (through item 58) directly into a rectangular carton package, as recited in

Art Unit: 1761

claim 4, that includes two short and two long side walls, and a bottom and cover panel wherein the food is filled onto the bottom panel, the cover is placed over the carton and the packaged food substance is frozen. The purpose of providing the plate of food is for uniformity. The process is automatic such the cartons are moved along via a conveyor to the filler, and the movement of the cartons relative to the filler is synchronized (See Figures, Column 1, lines 33-50, Column 2, lines 5-60, Column 4, lines 5-36, Column 6, line 58 to Column 7, line 20). However, Shaw is silent in teaching the particular method of freezing such as pressing the carton between two plates as recited in claim 1 and 5.

- 16. Battistella also teaches freezing uniformly shaped food products is conventionally done with freezer plates. However, Battistella, unlike prior art methods, teaches utilizing plates to press food product cartons that are fed via a conveyor system (i.e. an automatic method), the flexibility of operating with or without pressure so that either frames containing product or cartons containing product can be compressed such that the plates abut the top and bottom panels of the carton, and the plates that can be adjusted for the height of the food product (Column 1, line 43 to Column 2, line 40, Column 4, lines 10-65).
- 17. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Shaw and include the freezing plate method of Battistella that applies pressure to the top and bottom panel as recited in claims 1 and 5, since Battistella not only teaches a method of freezing uniformly shaped food products compatible with an automatic method, but the method of freezing is compatible with either cartons or with mold frames and any height of product.

- 18. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw (US 4052836) in view of Battistella (US 4907421), as applied to claims 1,4,5, above, further in view of Vogt (US 1953520).
- 19. Shaw is silent in teaching the cover panel is connected via a short end panel.
- 20. Vogt also teaches a method of automatically freezing uniformly shaped food items in cartons. However, Vogt teaches providing a particularly shaped carton that increases the cooling surface area of the sides of the carton. This type of carton includes a cover panel is connected via a short end panel (Page 1, lines 1-40, Page 3, lines 70 to 120) Therefore, it would have been obvious to further modify Shaw with a carton having a cover panel connected via a short end panel since Vogt teaches this type of carton is used to provide increased cooling surface area on the sides of the carton.

#### Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Reghele et al. (US 6351927 B1) and Jensen et al. (US 4919951) teach synchronized extruding, cutting, and packing of meat.

Whittingham et al. (US 4442741) teach synchronized extruding, cutting, and conveying of meat. Imaoka et al. (JP 10-129610 A), Gorton (US 2827383), and Miyoujin (JP 61015669A) teach synchronized extruding and molding of fish.

Dewhurst (US 3602422) and Mayo (US 3464833) teach applying pressure to fish cartons in freezer plates.

- 22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Madsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1402. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM M-F.
- 23. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 24. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Madsen Examiner Art Unit 1761

MILTON I. CANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700